

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/021,602	12/12/2001	Christopher L. Adrien	ERIE-75	5540
	7590 12/01/2004		EXAMINER	
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP 2700 CAREW TOWER			CROSS, LATOYA I	
441 VINE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI	OH 45202	•	1743	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/021,602	ADRIEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LaToya I. Cross	1743
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON'	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 S</u>	Contomber 2004	
=-/ ···· ··	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Tice except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ex parte Quayie, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 43-57</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 43-57</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement	
Application Papers		
•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by	/ the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 05 11 0 0	404 \ (1)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	hove been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
and priority documents	nave been received in App	olication No
i a serios de la como	y documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	(FUI Kule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	i trie certified copies not re	ceived.
ttachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)
I Notice of Draftenercon's Patent Drawing Design (DTG aver	Paper No/e)/N	fail Date
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) The second of	 '
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/021,602

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Applicants' amendments filed on September 17, 2004. Claims 5 and 43-57 are pending.

Withdrawal of Rejections from Previous Office Action

The anticipation rejection over Aghassi et al is withdrawn in view of applicant's cancellation of claims 41 and 42.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 5 and 43-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fisch in view of Tolles.

Fisch discloses a device for examining a sample under magnification. The device comprises a slide substrate (20) for holding a sample specimen and a cover slip (3) for covering the sample. Fisch also discloses a thin spacer layer (23) sandwiched between the cover slip (3) and the slide (2). The space formed by the spacer layer (23) creates a circular sample chamber, in which the sample will remain during examining. See figure 1a and 1b. Fisch also disclose that the cover slip is made of glass and has a thickness of 0.1 – 0.5 mm (col. 3, lines 60-61), which would provide sufficient beam stiffness and allow the reaction of adhesion forces. In use, the user drops a sample into the sample chamber and applies the cover slip to the top of the slide. When the cover slip is applied to the slide, the cover slip is affixed to the thin spacer layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,602

Art Unit: 1743

Fisch differs from the instantly claimed invention in that the spacer layer of Fisch is not taught as being "a pair of spacer segments" that extends along substantially a full length of a different one of the opposed edges.

Tolles is directed to a slide device for examining samples. Tolles describes a device comprising a slide substrate (11) for holding a sample specimen and a cover slip (13) for covering the specimen. Tolles further teaches the presence of at least two parallel spacers (12) that are raised and support the cover slip (13), creating a chamber (14) between the slide (11) and cover slip (13). In figure 1 the spacers are shown as parallel lands that extend along the full length of the cover slip.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the parallel spaced spacers of Tolles in the device of Fisch to allow the sample to be inserted into the chamber of the slide while the cover slip is attached to the slide. Such would prevent any contamination resulting from inserting the sample and having to place the cover slip on the sample already present in the chamber. Also, because the parallel spacers of Tolles allow the specimen aliquot to spread out by capillary action, a lesser amount of sample will be needed to fill the chamber to an amount sufficient for examining.

With respect to claims 48-52, where particular hybridization materials to be used with the slide and cover slip are recited, the materials worked upon in an apparatus are not sufficiently limiting to impart patentability to the claims. See MPEP 2115.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed September 17, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to the rejection over Fisch in view of Tolles, Applicants argue

Application/Control Number: 10/021,602

Art Unit: 1743

that the spacers in Tolles (which teaches spacers that run parallel to one another) are not located on the edges of the cover slip. However, in the figures shown in Tolles, the spacers are located closer to the edges of the cover slip than they are to the center. Applicants have not provided any reasoning as to why having the spacers exactly on the edge of the cover slip provides unexpected results over having the spacers in the location taught by Tolles.

With respect to the thickness of the cover slip being sufficient to provide the claimed beam stiffness, Tolles teaches using a cover slip having a standard thickness. The standard thickness taught by Fisch is 0.5mm, which is also the thickness that is claimed by Applicants. Thus, it is the Examiner's position that a standard thickness of 0.5mm is sufficient to impart the claimed beam stiffness. It is noted that, as Applicants have stated, neither reference teaches that the thickness of the cover slip prevents adhesion forces created by introduction of liquid into the chamber. However, this ability to prevent adhesion forces is a result of the thickness of the cover slip. As stated previously, Tolles teaches a standard thickness, wherein Fisch teaches a thickness of 0.5mm, which is Applicants' claimed thickness. Thus, the cover slip thickness of Tolles and Fisch is sufficient to prevent adhesion forces.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory

Art Unit: 1743

period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaToya I. Cross whose telephone number is 571-272-1256. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lic

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examinar
Technology Center 1700